

等質錐の基本相対不変式に付随する 一般化された b -関数

Hideto Nakashima

Kyushu University

September 4, 2015

第 54 回実関数論・函数解析学合同シンポジウム
神奈川大学

Plan of the talk

- (1) What is a \mathbf{b} -function?
 - (i) Prehomogeneous vector space
 - (ii) \mathbf{b} -function
 - (iii) Properties of \mathbf{b} -functions
- (2) Symmetric cone of positive definite matrices
 - (i) \mathbf{b} -function for symmetric cone
 - (ii) Known fact
- (3) For general case
 - (i) Setting and definitions
 - (ii) matrix realization of homogeneous cones
 - (iii) Generalized \mathbf{b} -function
 - (iv) Gamma function and Laplace transformation
 - (v) Main Theorem
 - (vi) Additional fact

Prehomogeneous vector space

V : n -dim. complex vector space

G is a complex linear algebraic group

ρ : action of G on V

Definition. (G, ρ, V) is prehomogeneous vector space (PV)
 $\xleftrightarrow{\text{def}} G$ acts on V “almost” transitively by ρ .

- transitivity: for any $x, y \in V \exists g \in G$ s.t. $\rho(g)x = y$.
- If G is reductive, (G, ρ, V) is also called reductive.

$\mathcal{S} = \{v \in V; \overline{\rho(G)v} \neq V\}$: singular set of (G, ρ, V) .

A rational function f on V is called *relative invariant* if

$\exists \chi$: a rational character s.t. $f(\rho(g)v) = \chi(g)f(v)$ ($v \in V \setminus \mathcal{S}$).

Example

Let us put $V = \text{Sym}(N, \mathbb{C})$ and $G = GL(N, \mathbb{C})$.

A linear map ρ is defined by

$$\rho(g)x := gx^t g \quad (g \in G, x \in V).$$

Then G acts on V almost transitively by ρ .

The singular set is given by

$$\mathcal{S} = \{x \in V; \det x = 0\}.$$

$f(x) = \det x$ is a relatively invariant polynomial.

Dual prehomogeneous vector space

$V^* = \{f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \text{ linear map}\}$: dual vector space of V
 \rightarrow write $f(v) = \langle v, f \rangle$

contragredient representation ρ^* of ρ is defined through

$$\langle \rho(g)v, \rho^*(g)f \rangle = \langle v, f \rangle \quad (g \in G, f \in V^*, v \in V).$$

The triplet (G, ρ^*, V^*) is not PV in general, but if so, we call it the dual PV of (G, ρ, V) .

Theorem (cf. Kimura)

Let (G, ρ, V) be reductive.

1. (G, ρ^*, V^*) is also PV.
2. $f(x)$: relatively inv. poly. of degree $d \iff \chi$
 $\implies \exists f^*(y)$: relatively inv. poly. of degree d of the dual PV $\iff \chi^{-1}$.

b -function

Assume that G is reductive.

For a polynomial $p(x)$, a differential operator $p(D_x)$ is given as

$$p(D_x)e^{\langle x|y \rangle} = p(y)e^{\langle x|y \rangle}.$$

$$\langle x|y \rangle = x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_r y_r$$

Definition. b -function of f is defined through

$$f^*(D_x)f(x)^{s+1} = b(s)f(x)^s.$$

Properties of b -function:

- 1 Any b -function is of the form $b(s) = b_0 \prod_j (s + \alpha_j)$ with positive rational numbers α_j .
- 2 $b(s)$ satisfies the following functional equation:

$$b(s) = (-1)^d b\left(-s - \frac{n}{d} - 1\right).$$

- 3 b -functions control the poles of zeta functions of PV.

Relationship between homogeneous cones and PV

V : finite dimensional real vector space

Ω : homogeneous cone in V

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V \supset \Omega & \overset{\rho}{\curvearrowright} & H \quad : \text{split solvable Lie group} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \quad \text{complexification} \\
 W & \overset{\rho_{\mathbb{C}}}{\curvearrowright} & H_{\mathbb{C}} \quad : \text{almost transitive}
 \end{array}$$

$\rightarrow (H_{\mathbb{C}}, \rho_{\mathbb{C}}, W)$: solvable prehomogeneous vector space

In particular, not reductive in general.

Since the b -function does not depend on an \mathbb{R} -structure, we consider H .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{PV} & (G, \rho, V) \longleftrightarrow (G, \rho^*, V^*) \\
 & \downarrow f \qquad \qquad \downarrow f^* \\
 \hline
 \text{cone} & (H, \rho, \Omega) \longleftrightarrow (H, \rho^*, \Omega^*) \\
 & \downarrow \Delta_{\underline{s}} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \Delta_{\underline{s}^*}^*
 \end{array}$$

Plan of the talk

- (1) What is a \mathbf{b} -function?
 - (i) Prehomogeneous vector space
 - (ii) \mathbf{b} -function
 - (iii) Properties of \mathbf{b} -functions
- (2) Symmetric cone of positive definite matrices
 - (i) \mathbf{b} -function for symmetric cone
 - (ii) Known fact
- (3) For general case
 - (i) Setting and definitions
 - (ii) matrix realization of homogeneous cones
 - (iii) Generalized \mathbf{b} -function
 - (iv) Gamma function and Laplace transformation
 - (v) Main Theorem
 - (vi) Additional fact

Symmetric cone of positive definite matrices

\mathcal{S}_r : symmetric matrices

\mathcal{S}_r^+ : positive definite matrices in \mathcal{S}_r

\mathcal{H}_r : lower triangular matrices with positive diagonals

$\rightarrow \mathcal{H}_r$ acts simply transitively on \mathcal{S}_r^+ by

$$\rho(h)x := hx^t h \quad (\text{Colesky decomposition}).$$

The dual cone $(\mathcal{S}_r^+)^* = \mathcal{S}_r^+$.

Identify \mathcal{S}_r^* with \mathcal{S}_r through $\langle x | y \rangle := \text{tr } xy$.

$\rho^*(h)y := {}^t(h^{-1})y(h^{-1})$: contragredient representation.

Then \mathcal{H}_r acts simply transitively by ρ^* on $(\mathcal{S}_r^+)^* = \mathcal{S}_r^+$.

For $\underline{\nu} = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$, $\chi_{\underline{\nu}}$ below is a rational character of \mathcal{H}_r :

$$\chi_{\underline{\nu}}(h) = h_1^{2\nu_1} \cdots h_r^{2\nu_r} \quad (h \in \mathcal{H}_r).$$

h_1, \dots, h_r : diagonal elements of h .

Symmetric cones

For $j = 1, \dots, r$, put

$\Delta_j(x) := \det^{(j)}(x)$: left upper principal minors

$\Delta_j^*(y) := \det_{[r-j+1]}(y)$: right lower corner principal minors

Then one has

$$\Delta_k(\rho(h)x) = h_1^2 \cdots h_k^2 \Delta_k(x), \quad \Delta_k^*(\rho^*(h)y) = h_k^{-2} \cdots h_r^{-2} \Delta_k^*(y),$$

that is, $\Delta_j(x)$ (resp. $\Delta_j^*(y)$) is a relatively inv. poly. of \mathcal{S}_r (resp. \mathcal{S}_r^*).

Define

$$\begin{cases} \Delta_{\underline{s}}(x) := \Delta_1(x)^{s_1-s_2} \cdots \Delta_{r-1}(x)^{s_{r-1}-s_r} \Delta_r(x)^{s_r}, \\ \Delta_{\underline{s}^*}^*(y) := \Delta_1^*(y)^{s_1^*} \Delta_2^*(y)^{s_2^*-s_1^*} \cdots \Delta_r^*(y)^{s_r^*-s_{r-1}^*}. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\Delta_{\underline{s}}(\rho(h)x) = \chi_{\underline{s}}(h) \Delta_{\underline{s}}(x), \quad \Delta_{\underline{s}^*}^*(\rho^*(h)y) = \chi_{\underline{s}^*}^{-1}(h) \Delta_{\underline{s}^*}^*(y).$$

Symmetric cones

For $f(x) = \Delta_{\underline{s}}(x)$, we put $f^*(y) = \Delta_{\underline{s}}^*(y)$.

Assume $f^*(y)$ is polynomial. Then we have

$$s_r \geq s_{r-1} \geq \cdots \geq s_1 \geq 0.$$

Now we can consider the following:

$$f^*(D_x)f(x)^{s+1} = b(s)f(x)^s.$$

Remark

$f(x)$ is not polynomial in general. Let $r = 2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1(x) &= x_{11}, & \Delta_2(x) &= x_{11}x_{22} - x_{21}^2, \\ \Delta_1^*(y) &= y_{11}y_{22} - y_{21}^2, & \Delta_2^*(y) &= y_{22}.\end{aligned}$$

If $s_2 > s_1$, then

$$f(x) = \frac{(x_{11}x_{22} - x_{21}^2)^{s_2}}{x_{11}^{s_2-s_1}}, \quad f^*(y) = (y_{11}y_{22} - y_{21}^2)^{s_1} y_{22}^{s_2-s_1}.$$

Known result

Theorem (cf. Faraut–Koranyi)

If $\underline{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_r)$ satisfies $s_r \geq s_{r-1} \geq \dots \geq s_1 \geq 0$, then b -function of $\Delta_{\underline{s}}(x)$ is calculated as

$$b(s) = \prod_{i=1}^r \prod_{j=0}^{s_i-1} \left(s_i s + 1 + \frac{r-i}{2} + j \right).$$

To prove this theorem, they used Laplace transforms of symmetric cones. This method can be generalized to any homogeneous cones.

Plan of the talk

- (1) What is a \mathbf{b} -function?
 - (i) Prehomogeneous vector space
 - (ii) \mathbf{b} -function
 - (iii) Properties of \mathbf{b} -functions
- (2) Symmetric cone of positive definite matrices
 - (i) \mathbf{b} -function for symmetric cone
 - (ii) Known fact
- (3) For general case
 - (i) Setting and definitions
 - (ii) matrix realization of homogeneous cones
 - (iii) Generalized \mathbf{b} -function
 - (iv) Gamma function and Laplace transformation
 - (v) Main Theorem
 - (vi) Additional fact

Homogeneous cones

V : finite dimensional real vector space with $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$

$\Omega \subset V$: open convex cone containing no entire line

$G(\Omega) = \{g \in GL(V); g(\Omega) = \Omega\}$: Lie group

$\Omega^* = \{y \in V; \langle x | y \rangle > 0 \forall x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}\}$: dual cone

Definition.

- (1) Ω is homogeneous $\xleftrightarrow{\text{def}}$ $G(\Omega)$ acts on Ω transitively
- (2) Ω is self adjoint $\xleftrightarrow{\text{def}}$ $\Omega = \Omega^*$ (with suitable inner prod.)
- (3) Ω is symmetric cone $\xleftrightarrow{\text{def}}$ homogeneous and self adjoint

Example.

(1) $\Omega = \text{Sym}(r, \mathbb{R})^+, \text{Herm}(r, \mathbb{C})^+, \text{Lorentz cone.}$

These are symmetric cones.

(2) None-symmetric cone (Vinberg cone):

$$\Omega = \left\{ \left(\begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \\ x_2 & x_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_4 \\ x_4 & x_5 \end{pmatrix} \right); x_1 > 0, \begin{matrix} x_1 x_3 - x_2^2 > 0 \\ x_1 x_5 - x_4^2 > 0 \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

Matrix realization of homogeneous cones (Ishi 2006)

$N = n_1 + \cdots + n_r$: partition of $N \in \mathbb{N}$

$\mathcal{V}_{lk} \subset \text{Mat}(n_l, n_k; \mathbb{R})$: system of vector spaces satisfying

$$(V0) \quad \mathcal{V}_{jj} = \mathbb{R}I_{n_j} \quad (j = 1, \dots, r),$$

$$(V1) \quad A \in \mathcal{V}_{lk}, B \in \mathcal{V}_{kj} \Rightarrow AB \in \mathcal{V}_{lj} \quad (j < k < l),$$

$$(V2) \quad A \in \mathcal{V}_{lj}, B \in \mathcal{V}_{kj} \Rightarrow A^t B \in \mathcal{V}_{lk} \quad (j < k < l),$$

$$(V3) \quad A \in \mathcal{V}_{kj} \Rightarrow A^t A \in \mathcal{V}_{kk} = \mathbb{R}I_{n_k} \quad (j < k).$$

$$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}} = \left\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 I_{n_1} & {}^t X_{21} & \cdots & {}^t X_{r1} \\ X_{21} & x_2 I_{n_2} & \ddots & {}^t X_{r2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ X_{r1} & X_{r2} & \cdots & x_r I_{n_r} \end{pmatrix}; \begin{matrix} x_{kk} \in \mathbb{R} \\ X_{lk} \in \mathcal{V}_{lk} \end{matrix} \right\} \subset \mathcal{S}_N,$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}} = \{X \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}; X \text{ is positive definite}\}.$$

$\rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a homogeneous cone of rank r .

Any homogeneous cone Ω can be realized as some $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Split solvable Lie subgroup H

$\exists H$: split solvable Lie subgr. of $G(\Omega)$ s.t. $H \curvearrowright \Omega$ simply transitively.

Example

Let $\Omega = \mathcal{S}_r^+$.

Then $H = \mathcal{H}_r$: lower triangular matrices with positive diagonals.

In fact, \mathcal{H}_r acts simply transitively on Ω by $h \cdot x = hx^th$.

H is linearly isomorphic to

$$\left\{ h = \begin{pmatrix} h_1 I_{n_1} & & & \\ T_{21} & h_2 I_{n_2} & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ T_{r1} & T_{r2} & \cdots & h_r I_{n_r} \end{pmatrix}; \begin{array}{l} h_k > 0 \\ T_{lk} \in \mathcal{V}_{lk} \end{array} \right\} \subset \mathcal{H}_N.$$

The action on $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is described as $h \cdot x = hx^th$.

Relatively H -invariant functions

Definition. f : relatively H -invariant function of Ω

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists \chi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \text{1-dim. rep. s.t. } f(h \cdot x) = \chi(h)f(x).$$

$\rightarrow \exists \underline{\nu} = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_r) \in \mathbb{R}^r$ (multiplier) s.t.

$$\chi(h) = h_1^{2\nu_1} \cdots h_r^{2\nu_r}.$$

Put $\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 I_{n_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & x_r I_{n_r} \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega.$

Then $x_j > 0$ and we have

$$f(\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_r)) = x_1^{\nu_1} \cdots x_r^{\nu_r}.$$

Basic relative invariants

Theorem (Ishi–Nomura 2008)

There exist just r relatively H -invariant irred. polys $\Delta_1(x), \dots, \Delta_r(x)$, and any relatively H -invariant polynomial $p(x)$ is written as

$$p(x) = (\text{const.}) \Delta_1(x)^{m_1} \cdots \Delta_r(x)^{m_r} \quad (m_j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}).$$

Moreover Ω is described as $\Omega = \{x \in V; \Delta_j(x) > 0 \text{ for all } j\}$.

→ $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_r$ are called the basic relative invariants of Ω .

$\underline{\sigma}_j = (\sigma_{j1}, \dots, \sigma_{jr})$: multiplier of $\Delta_j(x)$

$$\sigma := \begin{pmatrix} \underline{\sigma}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \underline{\sigma}_r \end{pmatrix} = (\sigma_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq r} : \text{multiplier matrix}$$

multiplier matrix is lower, the diagonal elements are all 1 (Ishi 2001).

We have an algorithm for calculating σ (N-. 2014).

Dual cones

$$\Omega^* = \{y \in V; \langle x | y \rangle > 0 \forall x \in \overline{\Omega} \setminus \{0\}\}.$$

Put $\rho(h)x = h \cdot x = hx {}^t h$.

Let ρ^* be the linear map defined through

$$\langle \rho^*(h)x | \rho(h)y \rangle = \langle x | y \rangle.$$

$\rightarrow \rho^*$ is described as $\rho^*(h)y = {}^t(h^{-1})y(h^{-1})$ ($y \in V$).

Then H acts simply transitively on Ω^* by ρ^* .

Thus Ω^* is also a homogeneous cone.

Definition. f^* is an H -relatively invariant function of Ω^*

$$\stackrel{\text{def}}{\longleftrightarrow} \exists \chi: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}: \text{1-dim. rep. s.t. } f^*(\rho^*(h)y) = \chi^{-1}(h)f^*(y).$$

If $\chi = \chi_{\underline{\nu}}$, then $\underline{\nu}$ is called the multiplier of f^* .

σ_* : multiplier matrix of Ω^* , which is upper triangular.

Example 1

Put $V = \mathcal{S}_r$.

- Symmetric cone of positive definite matrices:

$$\Omega = \{x \in V; x \text{ is positive definite}\}.$$

- Basic relative invariants: $\Delta_j(x) = \det^{(j)}(x)$ ($j = 1, \dots, r$).
- Dual cone: $\Omega^* = \{y \in V; y \text{ is positive definite}\} (= \Omega)$.
- Basic relative invariants of Ω^* :

$$\Delta_k^*(y) = \det_{[k]}(y) \quad (k = 1, \dots, r).$$

- Multiplier matrices σ and σ_* :

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ 1 & 1 & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 2

$$\text{Put } V = \left\{ x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 & x_4 \\ x_2 & 0 & x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & 0 & x_5 \end{pmatrix}; x_1, \dots, x_5 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

- Vinberg cone: $\Omega = V \cap \mathcal{S}_4^+$.

This is the least dimensional non-symmetric homogeneous cone.

- Basic relative invariants:

$$\Delta_1(x) = x_1, \quad \Delta_2(x) = x_1 x_3 - x_2^2, \quad \Delta_3(x) = x_1 x_5 - x_4^2.$$

- Multiplier matrix $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Example 2

$$\text{Put } V^* = \left\{ y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & y_4 \\ y_2 & y_3 & 0 \\ y_4 & 0 & y_5 \end{pmatrix}; y_1, \dots, y_5 \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

- dual coupling: for $x \in V$ and $y \in V^*$

$$\langle x, y \rangle := x_1 y_1 + 2x_2 y_2 + x_3 y_3 + 2x_4 y_4 + x_5 y_5.$$

- dual Vinberg cone: $\Omega^* := V^* \cap \mathcal{S}_3^+$.
- Basic relative invariants of Ω^* :

$$\Delta_1^*(y) = \det y, \quad \Delta_2^*(y) = y_3, \quad \Delta_3^*(y) = y_5.$$

- Multiplier matrix $\sigma_* = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Generalized b -functions

In the case of prehomogeneous vector spaces:

$$f^*(D_x)f(x)^{s+1} = b(s)f(x)^s$$

where f^* and f have the “same” multiplier.

In the case of homogeneous cones

	relative invariant		multiplier
$\Omega :$	$f(x) = \Delta_1(x)^{\nu_1} \cdots \Delta_r(x)^{\nu_r}$	\longleftrightarrow	$\underline{\nu}\sigma$
$\Omega^* :$	$f^*(y) = \Delta_1^*(y)^{\nu'_1} \cdots \Delta_r^*(y)^{\nu'_r}$	\longleftrightarrow	$\underline{\nu}'\sigma_*$

If $\underline{\nu}' = \underline{\nu}\sigma\sigma_*^{-1}$, then f and f^* have the same multiplier.

In order to consider the analogous, $f^*(y)$ needs to be polynomial.

Generalized b -functions

Lemma 1.

$f^*(y)$ is polynomial if and only if $\underline{\nu}' = \underline{\nu}\sigma\sigma_*^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$.

→ Assume that $\underline{\nu}' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$.

Proposition (cf. Kimura)

Let $f(x) = \Delta^{\underline{\nu}}(x)$ with $\underline{\nu}' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$. Then there exists a function $b(s)$ such that

$$f^*(D_x)f(x)^{s+1} = b(s)f(x)^s.$$

⇒ $b(s)$: generalized b -function of relatively H -invariant func. f of Ω .

Gamma function

Gamma function $\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{s-1} dx$ ($s > 0$).

→ generalize to homogeneous cones.

We consider the following integral:

$\Delta_{\underline{s}^*}^*(\mathbf{y})$: relatively H -inv. func. whose multiplier is $\underline{s}^* \in \mathbb{R}^r$,
 $d\mu(\mathbf{y})$: H -invariant measure,

$$\Gamma_{\Omega^*}(\underline{s}^*) := \int_{\Omega^*} e^{-\text{tr}(\mathbf{y})} \Delta_{\underline{s}^*}^*(\mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{y}).$$

Theorem (Gindikin)

The integral converges if and only if $s_j > m_j/2$.

If converges, then we have

$$\Gamma_{\Omega^*}(\underline{s}^*) = \frac{\pi^{(\dim V - r)/2}}{2^r} \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma\left(s_j^* - \frac{m_j}{2}\right),$$

where $m_j := \sum_{k>j} \dim \mathcal{V}_{kj}$.

Laplace transform

$\Delta_{\underline{s}}(\mathbf{x})$: relatively H -inv. func. of Ω whose multiplier is $\underline{s} \in \mathbb{R}^r$.

Theorem (Gindikin)

The integral $\mathcal{L}[\Delta_{\underline{s}^*}^*](\mathbf{x}) := \frac{1}{\Gamma_{\Omega^*}(\underline{s}^*)} \int_{\Omega^*} e^{-\langle \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle} \Delta_{\underline{s}^*}^*(\mathbf{y}) d\mu(\mathbf{y})$

converges if and only if $s_j^* > m_j/2$, and if converges one has

$$\mathcal{L}[\Delta_{\underline{s}^*}^*](\mathbf{x}) = \Delta_{-\underline{s}^*}(\mathbf{x}).$$

For $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ and $\mathbf{y} \in \Omega^*$, we put

$$\Delta_{\nu}^{\nu}(\mathbf{x}) := \Delta_1(\mathbf{x})^{\nu_1} \cdots \Delta_r(\mathbf{x})^{\nu_r}, \quad \Delta_{\nu^*}^{\nu^*}(\mathbf{y}) := \Delta_1^*(\mathbf{y})^{\nu_1^*} \cdots \Delta_r^*(\mathbf{y})^{\nu_r^*}.$$

Then we have $\Delta_{\nu}^{\nu}(\mathbf{x}) = \Delta_{\underline{\nu}\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$ and $\Delta_{\nu^*}^{\nu^*}(\mathbf{y}) = \Delta_{\underline{\nu}^*\sigma^*}^*(\mathbf{y})$, and hence

$$\mathcal{L}[\Delta_{\nu^*}^{\nu^*}](\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{\Delta_{\tilde{\nu}}(\mathbf{x})} \quad (\mathbf{x} \in \Omega).$$

Example

Ω : Vinberg cone

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_1(x) &= x_1, & \Delta_2(x) &= x_1x_3 - x_2^2, & \Delta_3(x) &= x_1x_5 - x_4^2, \\ \Delta_1^*(y) &= \det y, & \Delta_2^*(y) &= y_3, & \Delta_3^*(y) &= y_5.\end{aligned}$$

In this case, $\sigma_*\sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.

Put $\underline{\nu}^* = (\nu_1^*, \nu_2^*, \nu_3^*)$. Then we have

$$\widetilde{\underline{\nu}}^* = (\nu_1^*, \nu_2^*, \nu_3^*)\sigma_*\sigma^{-1} = (-\nu_1^* - \nu_2^* - \nu_3^*, \nu_1^* + \nu_2^*, \nu_1^* + \nu_3^*),$$

and hence

$$\Delta_1^*(y)^{\nu_1^*} \Delta_2^*(y)^{\nu_2^*} \Delta_3^*(y)^{\nu_3^*} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \frac{\Delta_1(x)^{\nu_1^* + \nu_2^* + \nu_3^*}}{\Delta_2(x)^{\nu_1^* + \nu_2^*} \Delta_3(x)^{\nu_1^* + \nu_3^*}}.$$

Main theorem

Theorem

Let $f(x) = \Delta^{\underline{\nu}}(x)$ with $\underline{\nu}' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^r$. Put $\underline{\gamma} = \underline{\nu}\sigma$. Then $b(s)$ is given as

$$b(s) = \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{k=0}^{\gamma_j-1} (\gamma_j s + \widetilde{m}_j + k),$$

where $\widetilde{m}_j = 1 + (\sum_{k>j} \dim \mathcal{V}_{kj})/2$. (cf. Gindikin 1992)

- If we write $b(s) = b_0 \prod_{j,k} (s + \alpha_{jk})$, then

$$b_0 = \prod_j (\gamma_j)^{\gamma_j}, \quad \alpha_{jk} = \frac{\widetilde{m}_j + k}{\gamma_j} \quad (\gamma_j \neq 0).$$

In particular, each α_{jk} is a positive rational number.

(This is shown in more general scheme by Kashiwara '76)

Sketch of the proof

Put $\underline{\gamma} = \underline{\nu}\sigma$ and $|\underline{\gamma}| = \gamma_1 + \cdots + \gamma_r$.

Assume that $s\gamma_j < -m_j/2$ for all j .

Since $f(x)^{s+1} = \Delta^{(s+1)\underline{\nu}}(x) = \mathcal{L}[\Delta^{-(s+1)\underline{\nu}'}](x)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^*(D_x)f(x)^{s+1} &= \frac{\Delta_*^{\underline{\nu}'}(D_x)}{\Gamma_{\Omega^*}(-(s+1)\underline{\gamma})} \int_{\Omega^*} e^{-\langle x|y\rangle} \Delta_*^{-(s+1)\underline{\nu}'}(y) d\mu(y) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{|\underline{\gamma}|}}{\Gamma_{\Omega^*}(-(s+1)\underline{\gamma})} \int_{\Omega^*} e^{-\langle x|y\rangle} \Delta_*^{-s\underline{\nu}'}(y) d\mu(y) \\ &= (-1)^{|\underline{\gamma}|} \frac{\Gamma_{\Omega^*}(-s\underline{\gamma})}{\Gamma_{\Omega^*}(-(s+1)\underline{\gamma})} \Delta^{s\underline{\nu}}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain

$$b(s) = (-1)^{|\underline{\gamma}|} \frac{\Gamma_{\Omega^*}(-s\underline{\gamma})}{\Gamma_{\Omega^*}(-(s+1)\underline{\gamma})}.$$

Sketch of the proof

C = constant of gamma function, and $\widetilde{m}_j := 1 + m_j/2$.

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_{\Omega^*}(-s\underline{\gamma}) &= C \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma\left(-s\gamma_j - \frac{m_j}{2}\right) \\ &= C \prod_{j=1}^r \left(-s\gamma_j - \frac{m_j}{2} - 1\right) \cdots \left(s\gamma_j - \frac{m_j}{2} - \gamma_j\right) \\ &\quad \times \Gamma\left(-s\gamma_j - \frac{m_j}{2} - \gamma_j\right) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{k=0}^{\gamma_j-1} (-s\gamma_j - \widetilde{m}_j - k) \times C \prod_{j=1}^r \Gamma\left(-(s+1)\gamma_j - \frac{m_j}{2}\right) \\ &= (-1)^{|\underline{\gamma}|} \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{k=0}^{\gamma_j-1} (s\gamma_j + \widetilde{m}_j + k) \times \Gamma_{\Omega^*}(-(s+1)\underline{\gamma}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain $b(s) = \prod_{j=1}^r \prod_{k=0}^{\gamma_j-1} (\gamma_j s + \widetilde{m}_j + k)$.

Additional fact

Ω is irreducible $\Leftrightarrow \Omega = \Omega_1 \oplus \Omega_2$ implies $\Omega_1 = \{0\}$ or $\Omega_2 = \{0\}$.

Theorem (N.- (preparing))

Let Ω be an irreducible homogeneous cone. Then one has

Ω is symmetric $\Leftrightarrow \exists \underline{\nu} \neq 0$ s.t. $\Delta^{\underline{\nu}}(x)$ and $\Delta^{\underline{\nu}'}(y)$ are both non-constant polynomials.

Thus, if Ω is not symmetric, it is impossible that f and f^* both have the corresponding generalized b -functions.

$$\begin{cases} f^*(D_x)f(x)^{s+1} = b(s)f(x)^s & (x \in \Omega), \\ f(D_y)f^*(y)^{s+1} = b^*(s)f^*(y)^s & (y \in \Omega^*) \end{cases}$$

Example

Ω : Vinberg cone:

$$\Omega = \left\{ x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 & 0 & x_4 \\ x_2 & 0 & x_3 & 0 \\ 0 & x_4 & 0 & x_5 \end{pmatrix}; x_j \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \cap \mathcal{S}_4^+.$$

Assume that f has multiplier $(1, 1, 1)$.

Then we have $\underline{\nu} = (-1, 1, 1)$ and $\underline{\nu}' = (1, 0, 0)$.

In this case, we have $m_1 = 2$, $m_2 = 0$, $m_3 = 0$ and hence

$$b(s) = (s + 2)(s + 1)^2.$$

On the other hand, if f^* has multiplier $(1, 1, 1)$, then $\tilde{\nu}^* = (-1, 1, 1)$ and thus $\Delta^{\tilde{\nu}^*}(D_x)$ cannot be defined. This means that $f^*(y)$ does not have a generalized b -function.